Clare Milkins Scheme Manager Manchester November 2012

UK NEQAS (BTLP) UPDATE

Today's update

- Trends in standard practice questionnaire data
- Opdated web results pages
- Quality issues
 - CPA/UKAS
 - Participant suggestions for improvement
- New developments
 - Learning points in slides
 - CAPA forms
- Future developments



Trends in Practice





Trends in practice





Changes to web result pages

- Web result pages under re-development
- More clarity
- De-cluttered
 - Techniques moved to a separate tab
- ABO/D reagents moved around to better match cards/cassettes
- More notes available





Antibody specificities pe (currently a maximum of	ositively identified of 2 in any sample)	Notes	•	Specificities that cannot be excluded						
D C C E E E E E C (+/-E) M N S S S S S S S K	k Le ^a Fy ^a Fy ^b Jk ^a Jk ^b UI ¹ C ^w Kp ^a Non-specific antibody	¹ UI = Unable to You may indicate commonly encour clinical significance that cannot be p present (cannot be excluded) bas phenotype pr If you wish these to be taken int performance monitoring you must a clicking on the "Antibody ID UI rule and following the instructions of Please note that if you have positi there is no need to make a UI submi currently do not contain	interpret htered antibodies of potential patively identified but might be sed on your testing and the ovided. o account for scoring and laso make a UI submission by s and faxheader " link above in the downloadable form. vely identified 2 specificities ssion as UK NEQAS samples in more than two.	D S C K C (+/-E) Fy ^a E Fy ^b e (+/-C) Jk ^a M Jk ^b S						
		Additional Inf	ormation							
Number of reagent ((including screenin	red cells used g panel cells)	Enzyme pane	lused?	Would refer for confirmation?						
Not Stated O <15	0 15-25 0 >25	O Not Stated O Ye	s 🔘 No	Not Stated O Yes	s 🔘 No					
		Patient 1 - Cros	ssmatching							
Donor V	N	Donor	Y	Donor Z						
Method: Select a l	Vethod	Method: Select a	Method	Method: Select a Method 💌						
Serological crossmatch reactions	Interpretation	Serological crossmatch reactions	Interpretation	Serological crossmatch reactions	Interpretation					
DRT Select IAT Select Other Select	 Not Stated Compatible ¹ Incompatible ² Unable to test ³ 	DRT Select IAT Select Other Select	 Not Stated Compatible ¹ Incompatible ² Unable to test ³ 	DRT Select IAT Select Other Select	 Not Stated Compatible ¹ Incompatible ² Unable to test ³ 					
If compatible, would you transfuse? ⁴	 Not Stated Yes No 	If compatible, would you transfuse? ⁴	 Not Stated Yes No 	If compatible, would you transfuse? ⁴	 Not Stated ○ Yes ○ No 					
		Notes	•							
¹ Compatible includes where compa ² Incompatible includes where units ³ Unable to test is only to be used wi	tibility established by serologic are de-selected due to theore here a sample is unsuitable fo	cal or theoretical means tical incompatibility (where the donor is r testing and a repeat cannot be obtain	positive for the antigen corres	ponding to an antibody identified in the	e patient)					

⁴ Allows you to tell us that a unit, although found serologically compatible, would not be issued according to your laboratory policy

De-cluttered – techniques moved to separate tab

UK NEQAS National External Quality Assessment Site													
Sample Entry D	etails Back	to List Print Save Submit	Blank data entry form A Email scheme D	ntibody ID UI Rules and Faxheader ata Entry Instructions									
BLOOD TRANSFUSION LABORATORY PRACTICE													
Distribution Number: 12R7 Participant: 26000 Issued: 16/07/2012 Closing: 30/07/2012 Received Date (dd/mm/yyyy): Analysed Date (dd/mm/yyyy):													
Sample Quality	Patient 1	Patient 2 Patient 3	Techniques	Phenotyping 01/08/2012									
Techniques - Patient 1													
Test	Technology	Primary Testing Automated / Manual	Additional Testing (if required to make an interpretation) Technology Automated / Manual										
ABO/D	DiaMed 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated	NISS Tube	Not Stated O Manual Semi-Automated O Fully-Automated									
IAT Antibody Screen	DiaMed 💌	 Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated 	LISS Tube	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated									
IAT Antibody ID	Select Technique 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated	Select Technique 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated									
IAT Crossmatch	DiaMed 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated	LISS Tube	O Not Stated O Manual Image: Semi-Automated O Fully-Automated									
		Techniques - Patie	ent 2										
Test	Technology	Primary Testing Automated / Manual	Additional Testing Technology	(if required to make an interpretation) Automated / Manual									
ABO/D	DiaMed 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated	NISS Tube	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated									
IAT Antibody Screen	DiaMed 💌	 Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated 	LISS Tube	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated									
IAT Antibody ID	Select Technique 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated	Select Technique 💌	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated									
IAT Crossmatch	DiaMed	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated	LISS Tube	Not Stated Manual Semi-Automated Fully-Automated									

Quality issues

- OPA accreditation maintained
 - Inspection July 2012
 - NCs cleared October 2012
- Future inspections will be to ISO 17043 standards
 - Undertaken by UKAS
 - Annual inspection cycle



Participant suggestions for improvement

- Include assessment for DAT
 - Assessing sources of stable material
 - ? Reduce date
 - Trial in 12R7
 - ? Pilot in 2013
- More Rh phenotyping
 - SC agree
 - Would include Rh and K with every 'R' exercise
 - 2013
- Soth require some IT development



Participant suggestions for improvement

- Distribute D variants
 - feasibility of getting sufficient donors
- Include C^w/Kp^a in patient phenotypes
 - Antibodies occasionally included in mixture
 - These have been added
- Interactive web entry screens select card/cassette profile and reagents would default to appropriate order X
 - Great idea but IT unable to support this
- Send reminder email that exercise is closing X
 - now accept saved results at closing even if not 'submitted'



New developments

CAPA form

- Introduced in 12E2
- Exercise summary slides
 - Introduced with 12E3
 - Provided with web reports
 - Intended for teaching or discussing learning points with staff or at regional meetings



UK NEQAS BTLP

Introduction

- CPA accredited EQA scheme
- Objective inter-laboratory comparison of results and processes
- Assessment of ABO/D typing, crossmatching, phenotyping, antibody screening and antibody identification
- 10 exercises per year
- 688 participants worldwide

Aims to raise performance standards in blood transfusion laboratories by:

- Highlighting problems with testing / procedures
- Demonstrating the benefits of best practice
- Providing information on causes of laboratory error
- Providing *education* and advice



MAIN AIMS OF EXERCISE

- To assess detection of the UK NEQAS 'Standard' anti-D.
- To assess identification of a mixture of antibodies.

UK and ROI

- Return Rate: 393/395 (95.5%)
- Sample quality: 100% for all samples



SUMMARY OF MATERIAL

- Patient 1: Anti-E+Fy^a:
 - \Rightarrow anti-E titre 4 vs. R₂R₂, Fy (a-b+) cells and
 - ♦ anti-Fy^a titre 4 vs. R_1R_1 Fy(a+b+) cells
- Patient 2: Anti-D: UK NEQAS Standard
- Patient 3: Anti-D: titre 1 vs. R₁r cells
- Patient 4: Inert

Titres obtained by tube LISS suspension in the UK NEQAS laboratory on the closing date



ANTIBODY SCREEING

Patient 1 (anti-E+Fy^a)

- One negative screen
 - IAT positive data entry error (see discussion / learning point 1)

Patient 2 (anti-D – UK NEQAS Standard)

- One false negative screen
 - LISS tube IAT positive on repeat, and the cause of the original false negative has not been identified.
- All other laboratories detected the UK NEQAS anti-D standard
 - Reaction grades were similar to those seen the last time it was issued (11E5)

Patient 3 (anti-D)

 Detected by all - this was a lower dilution (i.e. stronger preparation) of the UK NEQAS anti-D Standard



ANTIBODY IDENTIFICATION

Patient 1 (anti-E+Fy^a)

 All antibody identification errors were confirmed or probable transcription errors, made either prior to or during data entry. (see discussion / learning point 1)

Patients 2 and 3 (anti-D)

- Three reported anti-D+C^w for both patients (see discussion / learning points 2 & 3)
- One UI submission as anti-C^w could not be excluded, which, although unnecessary, was agreed (see discussion / learning point 2)



DISCUSSION AND LEARNING POINTS

1. The result transposition errors highlight the vulnerability of manual steps in antibody identification and transcription of results. Wherever possible, manual steps should be avoided in antibody screening; however, for antibody identification, manual intervention is sometimes inevitable and this should be taken into consideration when establishing procedures for reporting results for both clinical and EQA samples.

Since anti-C^w is rarely of clinical significance, there is no requirement to include a C^w positive cell on the antibody screening panel, or to exclude anti-C^w where it is potentially masked by other specificities in clinical¹ or EQA samples, providing that all reactions obtained are accounted for by specificities already identified.



DISCUSSION AND LEARNING POINTS (3)

+ 3

0 3

0

Cell	Rh						MNS				P Lu		Kell			Le		Fy		Jk		P2 Results			
	Probable Genotype	D	с	C w	c	E	e	м	N	s	s	P 1	a	b	к	k	K p a	a	b	a	b	a	b	I A T	E N Z
1	$R_1^w R_1$	+	+	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	3	3
2	R ₁ R ₁	+	+	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	3	3
3	R_2R_2	+	0	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	+	0	+	0	3	3
4	r'r	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	0
5	r"r	0	0	0	+	+	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	0
6	rr	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	0	0	+	+	+	0	0
7	rr	0	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	0	0
8	rr	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0	+	0	0
9	rr	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	+	+	+	0	0	0
10	rr	0	0	0	+	0	+	+	0	+	0	+	0	+	+	+	0	0	+	0	+	0	+	0	0
	Rh					MNS			В		Kel			Le		Fy		Jk		P2					
Cell	Probable	_	~	с		F			N			1	K	-	K		h		h				AT		

0 +

+ +

+ + + +

+

0 + + + 0 + +

0

0 0

0

+ +

0

+

+ +

1

2

3

 $R_1^w R_1$

 R_2R_2

rr

+

0 0 + + 0

0

0 0

0 0 + + 0 + 0 0 0 + 0 + 0 0 + 0

+ 0 + 0

•All specificities except for anti-D and anti-C^w can be excluded with a suitable cell

•P2 is reacting all D pos cells, more than one of which is C^w neg and not reacting with at least two D neg cells

= Anti-D positively identified.

•Both C^w pos cells are also D pos so P2 could be reacting with the D antigen alone or with the D and C^w antigens

= Anti-C^w not positively identified, but also not excluded.

No need to exclude Anti-C^w (see discussion / learning point 2)



FURTHER INFORMATION / REFERENCES

Contact UK NEQAS BTLP

- btlp@ukneqas.org
- ♦ 0044 (0) 1923 217933 (Tel)
- 0044 (0) 1923 217934 (Fax)

 ¹BCSH guidelines for pre-transfusion compatibility testing in blood transfusion laboratories (2012).
 <u>Now available</u> for download at <u>www.bcshguidelines.com</u>



Is this useful?

Future developments Molecular genotyping

- Need to assess market for this in UK and non-UK
 - Number of centres
- Range of antigens
- Onors/patients
- Reference labs/hospitals

Material

- Whole blood
- DNA



UK NEQAS error rates





Acknowledgements

- Megan Rowley
- Jenny White
- Arnold Mavurayi
- Bill Chaffe

- The admin team
- The logistics team
- IT team
- Steering Committee
- Participants